UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,335	03/01/2004	Jayasimha Nuggehalli	49986-0535	2410
29989 7590 06/09/2010 HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP 2055 GATEWAY PLACE SUITE 550 SAN JOSE, CA 95110			EXAMINER	
			RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/791,335	NUGGEHALLI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ag This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-24 and 28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-24 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/4/2010 and 4/12/2010</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/15/2010 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 4/15/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument regarding "No embodiment of Nagasaka describes a multifunction peripheral containing a hypertext transfer protocol module---instead, such functionality is supplied by a computer connected to a printer" has bee fully considered; in response Homma '700 and Parry '766 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via hypertext transfer protocol using the hypertext transfer protocol module.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,335 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

However, Nagasaka '300 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via hypertext transfer protocol using the hypertext transfer protocol module (column 32, lines 64-67 and column 33, lines 1-10, where http is being used for communications between devices, as stated in column 34, lines 15-33 and as shown in Figs. 3A through 3C the device (printer) and the computer can be integrated in on device, therefore containing the HTTP module for communication).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via hypertext transfer protocol using the hypertext transfer protocol module as taught by Nagasaka '300 in the system of Homma '700 and Parry '766. With this the system can use a standard way of communication through internet, thus facilitating the communication with remote devices.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1-4, 9-17, 20-22, 24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700) in view of Parry (US 2003/0065766) and Nagasaka et al. (US 6,725,300).

(1) regarding claim 1:

Homma '700 discloses a multifunction peripheral (100 in Fig. 1) configured to perform the steps of:

obtaining multifunction peripheral information, wherein the obtaining multifunction peripheral information includes reading a meter of the multifunction peripheral (paragraph [0013], where the peripheral device keeps record of all the activity being performed by itself);

generating a device-related report based at least in part on the multifunction peripheral information (paragraph [0013], lines 8-12 where the information collected is being transmitted to an information processing device (interpreted as a report)); and

sending said device-related report to a recipient device (paragraph [0013], lines 8-12 where the information collected is being transmitted to an information processing device (interpreted as a report)).

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except requesting first device-related information from a network device over a network, wherein the first device-related information includes meter-reading information;

receiving the first device-related information from the network device over the network;

Page 5

related information;

wherein the recipient device is separate from both the network device and the

multifunction peripheral.

However, Parry '766 teaches requesting first device-related information from a

network device over a network (paragraph [0018], where the management facility

(paragraph [0017], lines 10-12, where the management facility can be an imaging

device) collects usage information from other imaging devices across network 200),

wherein the first device-related information includes meter-reading information

(paragraph [0018], where the usage information has been interpreted as meter-reading

information);

receiving device-related information from the network device over the network

(paragraph [0019], lines 10-21, where the management facility receives information

through a network 200 of the usage information of other devices);

generating a device-related report based at least in part on said first device-

related information (paragraph [0034], where the information gathered by the

management facility is analyzed and then it can be display in several forms including

text, images and/or graphics, this can be interpreted as reporting the information to the

user);

wherein the recipient device is separate from both the network device and the

multifunction peripheral (Fig. 2, user of a workstation 208).

Having a system of Homma '700 reference and then given the well-established teaching of Parry '766 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multifunction peripheral system of Homma '700 to include requesting first device-related information from a network device over a network, wherein the first device-related information includes meter-reading information; receiving the first device-related information from the network device over the network; generating a device-related report based at least in part on said first device-related information; wherein the recipient device is separate from both the network device and the multifunction peripheral as taught by Parry '766 because it would allow the multifunction device to detect other devices on the network and in case the MFP itself could not perform a job, it would know what are the capabilities of other devices in order to redirect or indicate the user where to redirect the job.

Homma '700 and Parry '766 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via hypertext transfer protocol using the hypertext transfer protocol module.

However, Nagasaka '300 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient

Art Unit: 2625

device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via hypertext transfer protocol using the hypertext transfer protocol module (column 32, lines 64-67 and column 33, lines 1-10, where http is being used for communications between devices, as stated in column 34, lines 15-33 and as shown in Figs. 3A through 3C the device (printer) and the computer can be integrated in on device, therefore containing the HTTP module for communication).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via hypertext transfer protocol using the hypertext transfer protocol module as taught by Nagasaka '300 in the system of Homma '700 and Parry '766. With this the system can use a standard way of communication through internet, thus facilitating the communication with remote devices.

(2) regarding claim 2:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of generating the device-related report based at least in part on the recipient device (paragraph [0074] – [0076], where certain host computer makes the request for information and that information is format in a way that the host computer would understand the information being received).

(3) regarding claim 3:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a faxing module (4 in Fig. 1) and the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending the device-related report by sending the device-related report Via fax using the faxing module (paragraph [0036], where the core part controls the transmission of information, among others, of the facsimile portion).

(4) regarding claim 4:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a network connection (public network in Fig. 1) and the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending the device-related report by sending the device-related report to an electronic faxing service over the network connection (paragraph [0036], where the core part controls the transmission of information, among others, of the facsimile portion through a network).

(5) regarding claim 9:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises an encryption module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of encrypting the device-related report (paragraph [0076], where by the user having to enter a user ID and the system having to verify this ID with its records, the system is performing an encryption process).

(6) regarding claim 10:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises an identification module (Fig. 6) and wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the steps of retrieving an identifier for the multifunction

peripheral (paragraph [0073], where an user ID is used to associated a user with the use of a device in this case the multifunction device) and augmenting the device-related report with the identifier for the multifunction peripheral (paragraph [0076], lines 1-4, where the user ID is used to access the report information).

(7) regarding claim 12:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of requesting first device-related information using simple network management protocol (paragraph [0034], lines 15-18, where the device is capable of communicating through a network using SNMP).

(8) regarding claim 13:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of receiving first device-related information from the network device using the simple network management protocol (paragraph [0034], lines 15-18, where the device is capable of communicating through a network using SNMP).

(9) regarding claim 14:

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the device-related information comprises one or more of device information, device status, and consumables information.

However, Parry '766 teaches wherein the device-related information comprises one or more of device information, device status, and consumables information (paragraph [0018], usage information).

Having a system of Homma '700 reference and then given the well-established teaching of Parry '766 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multifunction peripheral of Homma '700 to include wherein the device-related information comprises one or more of device information, device status, and consumables information as taught by Parry '766 because with this the system has an organized way to retrieve information from different devices, thus preventing errors or discrepancies of information for the report.

(10) regarding claim 15:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of accepting user configuration input (paragraph [0016], where the user ID has to be accepted by the device in order to properly communicate information), and wherein the user configuration input relates to one or more aspects of the collection of first device-related information from the network device by the multifunction peripheral (paragraph [0016], and Fig. 7 where the user has the options to select his/her own configuration).

(11) regarding claim 16:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of accepting user configuration input via a remote interface (paragraph [0016], where the user ID has to be accepted by the device in order to properly communicate information and Fig. 1, where the communication devices can be located remotely connected by a network), and wherein the user configuration input relates to one or more aspects of the collection of the first device-

related information from the network device by the multifunction peripheral (paragraph [0016], and Fig. 7 where the user has the options to select his/her own configuration).

(12) regarding claim 11 and 17:

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of requesting first device-related information from the network device at intervals defined by the user configuration input.

However, Parry '766 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of requesting first device-related information from the network device at intervals defined by the user configuration input (paragraph [0031], where the polling of information is performed periodically).

Having a system of Homma '700 reference and then given the well-established teaching of Parry '766 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multifunction peripheral of Homma '700 to include wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of requesting first device-related information from the network device at intervals defined by the user configuration input as taught by Parry '766 because with this the system has an organized way to retrieve information from different devices, thus preventing errors or discrepancies of information for the report.

(13) regarding claim 20:

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the network device is a second multifunction peripheral.

However, Parry '766 teaches wherein the network device is a second multifunction peripheral (202 in Fig. 2, e.g. imaging device 1).

Having a system of Homma '700 reference and then given the well-established teaching of Parry '766 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multifunction peripheral of Homma '700 to include wherein the network device is a second multifunction peripheral as taught by Parry '766 because with this the system has an organized way to retrieve information from different devices, thus preventing errors or discrepancies of information for the report.

(14) regarding claim 21:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the recipient device is one of the group consisting of a fax machine, a computer (11 and 12, in Fig. 1), and dedicated hardware executing one of the group consisting of an email client, an http server, and https server, and an ftp server.

(15) regarding claims 22:

Homma '700 discloses a multifunction peripheral (100 in Fig. 1).

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except requesting second device-related information from a network device over a network, wherein the network device is distinct from the second network device;

receiving second device-related information from the second network device over the network;

generating the device-related report based on said first device-related information and said second device related information; and

sending said device-related report to a recipient device.

However, Parry '766 teaches requesting second device-related information from a network device over a network (paragraph [0018], where the management facility (paragraph [0017], lines 10-12, where the management facility can be an imaging device) collects usage information from other imaging devices across network 200), wherein the network device is distinct from the second network device (202 in Fig. 2, imaging device 2);

receiving second device-related information from the second network device over the network (paragraph [0019], lines 10-21, where the management facility receives information through a network 200 of the usage information of other devices);

generating the device-related report based on said first device-related information and said second device related information (paragraph [0034], where the information gathered by the management facility is analyzed and then it can be display in several forms including text, images and/or graphics, this can be interpreted as reporting the information to the user); and

sending said device-related report to a recipient device (paragraph [0034], where the information gathered by the management facility is analyzed and then it can be display at user of a workstation 208 (Fig. 2)).

Having a system of Homma '700 reference and then given the well-established teaching of Motoyama '247 reference, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multifunction peripheral system of Homma '700 to include requesting second device-related information from a network device over a network, wherein the network device is distinct from the second network device; receiving second device-related information from the second network device over the network; generating the device-related report based on said first device-related information and said second device related information; and sending said device-related report to a recipient device as taught by Motoyama '247 because it would allow the multifunction device to detect other devices on the network and in case the MFP itself could not perform a job, it would know what are the capabilities of other devices in order to redirect or indicate the user where to redirect the job.

(16) regarding claim 24:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to receive an acknowledgement over the network from the network device (paragraph [0076], lines 5-13, where the system receives a network confirmation if there is or there is not device information stored in memory).

(17) regarding claim 28:

Homma '700 further discloses a multifunction peripheral (100 in Fig. 1) configured to perform the steps of:

reading a meter of the multifunction peripheral to obtain meter information (paragraph [0013], where the peripheral device keeps record of all the activity being performed by itself);

peripheral, and

generating a device-related report based on device related information from the multifunction peripheral (paragraph [0013], lines 8-12 where the information collected is being transmitted to an information processing device (interpreted as a report)); and

sending said device-related report to a recipient device (paragraph [0013], lines 8-12 where the information collected is being transmitted to an information processing device (interpreted as a report)),

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except requesting device-related information from a network device over a network;

receiving device-related information from the network device over the network; generating a device-related report based on the received device-related information;

wherein the device-related information includes the meter information, wherein the device-related report includes an identification of the multifunction

wherein the recipient device is separate from both the network device and the multifunction peripheral.

However, Parry '766 teaches requesting device-related information from a network device over a network (paragraph [0018], where the management facility (paragraph [0017], lines 10-12, where the management facility can be an imaging device) collects usage information from other imaging devices across network 200);

receiving device-related information from the network device over the network (paragraph [0019], lines 10-21, where the management facility receives information through a network 200 of the usage information of other devices)

generating a device-related report based on the received device-related information (paragraph [0034], where the information gathered by the management facility is analyzed and then it can be display in several forms including text, images and/or graphics, this can be interpreted as reporting the information to the user);

wherein the device-related information includes the meter information (paragraph [0018], where the usage information has been interpreted as meter-reading information),

wherein the device-related report includes an identification of the multifunction peripheral ("Imaging device 4" in Fig. 4), and

wherein the recipient device is separate from both the network device and the multifunction peripheral (Fig. 2, user of a workstation 208).

Having a system of Homma '700 reference and then given the well-established teaching of Parry '766 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multifunction peripheral system of Homma '700 to include requesting device-related information from a network device over a network; receiving device-related information from the network device over the network; generating a device-related report based on the received device-related information; wherein the device-related information includes the meter information, wherein the device-related report includes an identification of the

multifunction peripheral, and wherein the recipient device is separate from both the network device and the multifunction peripheral as taught by Parry '766 because it would allow the multifunction device to detect other devices on the network and in case the MFP itself could not perform a job, it would know what are the capabilities of other devices in order to redirect or indicate the user where to redirect the job.

Homma '700 and Parry '766 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device is performed by a hypertext transfer protocol module in the multifunction peripheral, wherein the hypertext transfer protocol uses a hypertext transfer protocol.

However, Nagasaka '300 teaches wherein the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device is performed by a hypertext transfer protocol module in the multifunction peripheral, wherein the hypertext transfer protocol uses a hypertext transfer protocol (column 32, lines 64-67 and column 33, lines 1-10, where http is being used for communications between devices, as stated in column 34, lines 15-33 and as shown in Figs. 3A through 3C the device (printer) and the computer can be integrated in on device, therefore containing the HTTP module for communication).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device is performed by a hypertext transfer protocol module in the multifunction peripheral, wherein the hypertext transfer protocol uses a hypertext transfer protocol as taught by Nagasaka '300 in the system of Homma '700 and Parry

'766. With this the system can use a standard way of communication through internet, thus facilitating the communication with remote devices.

5. Claims 5 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700), Parry (US 2003/0065766) and Nagasaka et al. (US 6,725,300) as applied to claims above, and further in view of Iwase et al. (US 2002/0046247).

(1) regarding claim 5:

Homma '700 and Parry '766 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multi function peripheral further comprises an email module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending, said device-related report to the recipient device via email using the email module.

However, Iwase '247 teaches wherein the multi function peripheral further comprises an email module (paragraph [0012], where the server apparatus contains the e-mail functionality) and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending, said device-related report to the recipient device via email using the email module (paragraph [0012], where information is being send through the network by e-mail).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multi function peripheral further comprises an email module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending, said device-

related report to the recipient device via email using the email module as taught by Iwase '247 in the system of Homma '700 and Parry '766. With this the system performance is enhanced by allowing communication among devices that may not be in the same place or even in the same country and still getting all the information contained in the report.

(2) regarding claim 23:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral (100 in Fig. 1) is configured to perform the step of:

accessing a set of multifunction peripheral information from the multifunction peripheral (column 21, lines 44-51);

generating the device-related report based on said device-related information and said set of device-related information (paragraph [0013], lines 8-12 where the information collected is being transmitted to an information processing device (interpreted as a report)); and

sending said device-related report to the recipient device (paragraph [0013], lines 8-12 where the information collected is being transmitted to an information processing device (interpreted as a report)).

Homma '700 and Parry '766 disclose all the subject matter as described above except that the set of device-related information and the device-related report are from a second device.

However, Iwase '247 teaches that the set of device-related information and the device-related report are from a second device (4 in Fig. 1, where the system have two or more multifunction devices connected to the same network).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the set of device-related information and the device-related report are from a second device as taught by Iwase '247 in the system of Homma '700 and Parry '766. With this, it becomes evident that in a system (as taught by Homma '700) that has a network where multiple devices can be connected to, it becomes clear that among those devices another multifunction device can be connected as shown by Iwase '247.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700), Parry (US 2003/0065766) and Nagasaka et al. (US 6,725,300) as applied to claims above, and further in view of Watkins (US 6,347,305).

(1) regarding claim 7:

Homma '700 and Parry '766 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a secure hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via secure hypertext transfer protocol using the secure hypertext transfer protocol module.

However, Watkins '305 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a secure hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction

peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via secure hypertext transfer protocol using the secure hypertext transfer protocol module (column 7, lines 5-17, where the email is connected to a secure page (https)).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a secure hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via secure hypertext transfer protocol using the secure hypertext transfer protocol module as taught by Watkins '305 in the system of Homma '700 and Parry '766. With this the system can use a standard way of communication through internet, thus facilitating the communication with remote devices in a secure way.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700), Parry (US 2003/0065766) and Nagasaka et al. (US 6,725,300) as applied to claims above, and further in view of Takano (US 2004/0184108).

Homma '700 and Parry '766 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a file transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via file transfer protocol using the file transfer protocol module.

However, Takano '108 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a file transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via file transfer protocol using the file transfer protocol module (paragraph [0052], where by way of the ftp the system can communicate device-related information).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a file transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via file transfer protocol using the file transfer protocol module as taught by Takano '108 in the system of Homma '700 and Parry '766. With this the system can use a standard way of communication through ftp, thus facilitating the data transfer with remote devices.

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700), Parry (US 2003/0065766) and Nagasaka et al. (US 6,725,300) as applied to claims above, and further in view of Carter (WO 01/40907).

Homma '700 and Parry '766 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of generating the device-related report based in part on the user configuration input, and wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of sending

said device-related report to the recipient device at an interval defined by the user configuration input.

However, Carter '907 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of generating the device-related report based in part on the user configuration input, and wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device at an interval defined by the user configuration input (page 12, lines 32-33 and page 13, lines 1-4, where the operator indicates when a particular information should be send to a particular party).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of generating the device-related report based in part on the user configuration input, and wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device at an interval defined by the user configuration input as taught by Carter '907 in the system of Homma '700 and Parry '766. With this the system has an organized way to present information about different devices, thus preventing errors or discrepancies of information for the report.

9. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700), Parry (US 2003/0065766) and Nagasaka et al. (US 6,725,300) as applied to claims above, and further in view of Swart (US 6,347,306).

Homma '700 and Parry '766 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a means for executing

instructions of a java application and the steps are performed by instructions of a particular java application.

However, Swart '306 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a means for executing instructions of a java application and the steps are performed by instructions of a particular java application (Fig. 3 and column 7, lines 48-63).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a means for executing instructions of a java application and the steps are performed by instructions of a particular java application as taught by Swart '306 in the system of Homma '700 and Parry '766. With this the system can use a standard way of communication through internet, thus facilitating the communication with remote devices.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ whose telephone number is (571)270-1678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30am - 6:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman can be reached on (571) 272-7653. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/791,335 Page 25

Art Unit: 2625

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lennin R Rodriguez/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/Mark K Zimmerman/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625